

Department of Health and Human Services

Part 1. Overview Information

Participating Organization(s)
National Institutes of Health ([NIH \(http://www.nih.gov\)](http://www.nih.gov))

Components of Participating Organizations
National Cancer Institute ([NCI \(http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=82468\)](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=82468))

Note: Not all NIH Institutes, Centers, and Offices (ICOs) participate in Announcements. Applicants should carefully note which ICOs participate in this announcement and view their respective areas of research interest at the [ICO-Specific Scientific Interests website \(https://grants.nih.gov/funding/find-a-fit-for-your-research/nih-institutes-centers-offices\)](https://grants.nih.gov/funding/find-a-fit-for-your-research/nih-institutes-centers-offices). ICOs that do not participate in this announcement will not consider applications for funding.

Funding Opportunity Title
Carcinogen Hazard Assessment Monographs Program (CHAMP) (R01 Clinical Trial Not Allowed)

Activity Code
[R01 \(http://grants.nih.gov/grants/funding/ac_search_results.htm?text_curr=r01&Search.x=0&Search.y=0&Search_Type=Activity\)](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/funding/ac_search_results.htm?text_curr=r01&Search.x=0&Search.y=0&Search_Type=Activity) Research Project Grant

Announcement Type
New

Related Notices

- Check for any recent [Notices of NIH Policy Changes \(https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.php?id=11163\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.php?id=11163) that may impact application requirements.

Funding Opportunity Number (FON)
RFA-CA-28-013

Companion Funding Opportunity
None

Number of Applications
See Part 2, Section III. 3. Additional Information on Eligibility.

Assistance Listing Number(s)
93.393, 93.399, 93.396

Funding Opportunity Purpose

Through this Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO), the National Cancer Institute invites applications to the *Carcinogen Hazard Assessment Monographs Program (CHAMP)* Initiative. NCI seeks meritorious proposals to critically and systematically evaluate the scientific evidence on carcinogenic hazards to humans. Identifying potential carcinogens is essential for guiding and advancing cancer research, as well as informing cancer control and prevention efforts.

Funding Opportunity Goal(s)

To identify cancer risks and risk reduction strategies, to identify factors that cause cancer in humans, and to discover and develop mechanisms for cancer prevention and preventive interventions in humans.

Key Dates

Posted Date
October 09, 2026

Open Date (Earliest Submission Date)
January 09, 2027

Application Due Dates			Review and Award Cycles		
New	Renewal / Resubmission / Revision (as allowed)	AIDS - New/Renewal/Resubmission/Revision, as allowed	Scientific Merit Review	Advisory Council Review	Earliest Start Date
February 09, 2027	February 09, 2027	Not Applicable	July 2027	August 2027	December 2027

All applications are due by 5:00 PM local time of applicant organization.

Applicants are encouraged to apply early to allow adequate time to make any corrections to errors found in the application during the submission process by the due date.

Due Dates for E.O. 12372

Not Applicable

Expiration Date

February 10, 2027

Required Application Instructions

It is critical that applicants follow the instructions in the Research (R) Instructions in the [How to Apply - Application Guide \(https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=82400\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=82400), except where instructed to do otherwise (in this NOFO or in a Notice from [NIH Guide for Grants and Contracts \(http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11164\)](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11164)).

Conformance to all requirements (both in the Application Guide and the NOFO) is required and strictly enforced. Applicants must read and follow all application instructions in the Application Guide as well as any program-specific instructions noted in Section IV. When the program-specific instructions deviate from those in the Application Guide, follow the program-specific instructions.

Applications that do not comply with these instructions may be delayed or not accepted for review.

There are several options available to submit your application through Grants.gov to NIH and Department of Health and Human Services partners. You **must** use one of these submission options to access the application forms for this opportunity.

1. Use the [NIH ASSIST system \(https://public.era.nih.gov/assist/landing_era?tblId=0819b5fc-46b7-4f02-b6b7-127e5a4e19fa\)](https://public.era.nih.gov/assist/landing_era?tblId=0819b5fc-46b7-4f02-b6b7-127e5a4e19fa) to prepare, submit and track your application online.
2. Use an institutional system-to-system (S2S) solution to prepare and submit your application to Grants.gov and [eRA Commons \(https://public.era.nih.gov/commons/\)](https://public.era.nih.gov/commons/) to track your application. Check with your institutional officials regarding availability.
3. Use [Grants.gov \(https://grants.gov/search-grants?oppStatuses=closed|archived|posted|forecasted&fon=PA-25-301\)](https://grants.gov/search-grants?oppStatuses=closed|archived|posted|forecasted&fon=PA-25-301) Workspace to prepare and submit your application and [eRA Commons \(http://public.era.nih.gov/commons/\)](http://public.era.nih.gov/commons/) to track your application.

Table of Contents

[Part 1. Overview Information](#)

[Key Dates](#)

[Part 2. Full Text of Announcement](#)

[Section I. Notice of Funding Opportunity Description](#)

[Section II. Award Information](#)

[Section III. Eligibility Information](#)

[Section IV. Application and Submission Information](#)

[Section V. Application Review Information](#)

[Section VI. Award Administration Information](#)

[Section VII. Agency Contacts](#)

[Section VIII. Other Information](#)

Part 2. Full Text of Announcement

Section I. Notice of Funding Opportunity Description

Purpose

The *Carcinogen Hazard Assessment Monographs Program (CHAMP)* initiative seeks to support a Monographs Program to critically and systematically evaluate the scientific evidence on carcinogenic hazards to humans. The recipient of the *CHAMP* initiative shall assume a leading role in the evaluation of global carcinogenic hazards and function as an authoritative reference for health agencies worldwide. This NOFO defines "agents" broadly to include: chemicals; complex mixtures; physical agents; biological organisms; behaviors and lifestyle factors; and environmental exposures and occupations. The recipient shall assess cancer prevention needs in determining the number and types of agents of significant global relevance and concern to be evaluated. A comprehensive evaluation and integration of existing evidence from studies of cancer in humans, cancer in experimental animals, and mechanistic evidence as relevant to the evaluated agent(s) is expected from this initiative.

Background

Hazard identification of possible carcinogens to humans is crucially relevant for cancer research. Its contributions to cancer research and the identification of preventable causes of human cancer are multi-fold, including (i) laying the foundation for understanding the etiology of cancer, (ii) guiding research directions by stimulating new hypotheses and priorities to assess cancer-related risks, and (iii) informing impactful strategies and regulatory policies to protect the public's health. Since 1982, the National Cancer Institute (NCI) has partially supported this endeavor through awards to the Monographs Program that has provided independent evaluations of suspected carcinogens with this guiding mission through a series of notice of funding opportunities (NOFOs). The evaluations conducted by prior awards have informed cancer research and health policies in the United States (U.S.) and worldwide. These *Monographs* have been uniquely authoritative, representing a consensus based on systematic reviews and strength-of-the-evidence evaluations by interdisciplinary working groups of experts. These experts often include individuals who conducted the original research or possess key methodological and scientific expertise. Strong measures to ensure freedom from conflicts of interest and safeguard against interference by special interests have made the *Monographs* highly trustworthy.

The CHAMP initiative will continue NCI's steadfast commitment to carcinogen evaluation through scientific rigor, transparency, and innovation.

Specific Objectives of this NOFO

The awarded **Monographs Program** is expected to:

1. Provide Leadership: (i) To lead integrative scientific efforts to identify and evaluate cancer hazards; (ii) To offer authoritative guidance in assessing global carcinogenic risks; (iii) To assess global cancer prevention needs and, as appropriate, evaluate priorities. Applicants are strongly encouraged to leverage available tools and technologies to augment the efficiency and effectiveness of the literature searches and process as applicable.
2. Support Advisory and Working Groups: (i) Provide scientific and administrative support for convening Working and Advisory Groups; (ii) Recruit scientists with appropriate expertise, experience and broad geographic representation; (iii) Ensure that all evaluations are comprehensive, scientifically and methodologically rigorous, transparent, and free of conflicts of interests.
3. Prepare and Publish High-Quality Monographs: Produce *Monographs* characterized by high scientific clarity, rigor, and accuracy; (ii) Disseminate findings efficiently and clearly to global audiences, including health agencies, the scientific community, and the public; (iv) Ensure optimal accessibility to findings and results in a timely manner.
4. Ensure Continuous Quality Improvement: (i) Maintain ongoing processes for self-evaluation and program improvement; (ii) Implement strong measures to promote consistency, transparency, and freedom from undue influence.

It is expected that NCI support will enable the convening of five to seven working groups.

Non-Responsive Applications

Applicants are strongly encouraged to consult with scientific contacts to assure responsiveness to the NOFO prior to submission. The following types of activities are outside the scope of this NOFO and will be considered non-responsive. Non-responsive applications will not be reviewed.

- Applications that involve primary data collection;
- Applications that propose assessing a single evidence stream;
- Applications that do not adhere to the outlined goals of the NOFO.

See Section VIII. Other Information for award authorities and regulations.

Section II. Award Information

Funding Instrument

Grant: A financial assistance mechanism providing money, property, or both to an eligible entity to carry out an approved project or activity.

Application Types Allowed

New
Renewal

The [OER Glossary \(http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11116\)](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11116) and the How to Apply Application Guide provide details on these application types. Only those application types listed here are allowed for this NOFO.

Clinical Trial?

Not Allowed: This NOFO only accepts applications that do not propose clinical trials. Note: Applications may propose activities involving human subjects that are not deemed clinical trials.

[Need help determining whether you are doing a clinical trial? \(https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=82370\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=82370)

Funds Available and Anticipated Number of Awards

NCI intends to commit \$800,000 in FY 2028 to fund one award.

Award Budget

Applicants may request budgets of up to \$700,000 in total direct costs per year.

Award Project Period

Applicants may request a project period of 5 years.

NIH grants policies as described in the [NIH Grants Policy Statement \(http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11120\)](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11120) will apply to the applications submitted and awards made from this NOFO.

Section III. Eligibility Information

1. Eligible Applicants

Eligible Organizations

Higher Education Institutions - Includes all types

- Public/State Controlled Institutions of Higher Education
- Private Institutions of Higher Education

Nonprofits Other Than Institutions of Higher Education

- Nonprofits with 501(c)(3) IRS Status (Other than Institutions of Higher Education)
- Nonprofits without 501(c)(3) IRS Status (Other than Institutions of Higher Education)

For-Profit Organizations

- Small Businesses
- For-Profit Organizations (Other than Small Businesses)

Local Governments

- State Governments
- County Governments
- City or Township Governments
- Special District Governments
- Indian/Native American Tribal Governments (Federally Recognized)
- Indian/Native American Tribal Governments (Other than Federally Recognized).

Federal Governments

- Eligible Agencies of the Federal Government
- U.S. Territory or Possession

Other

- Independent School Districts
- Public Housing Authorities/Indian Housing Authorities
- Native American Tribal Organizations (other than Federally recognized tribal governments)
- Faith-based or Community-based Organizations
- Regional Organizations
- Non-domestic (non-U.S.) Entities (Foreign Organizations)

Foreign Organizations/International Collaborations

Non-domestic (non-U.S.) Entities (Foreign Organizations) **are** eligible to apply.

Non-domestic (non-U.S.) components of U.S. Organizations **are not** eligible to apply.

Foreign components, as [defined in the NIH Grants Policy Statement \(http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11118\)](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11118), **are not** allowed.

NIH will no longer issue awards (i.e., new, renewal, or non-competing continuation) to domestic or foreign entities that involve foreign subawards/subcontracts. All NIH-funded research involving foreign subawards/subcontracts must be submitted in response to a NOFO that is specifically designated for funded international collaborations. See [NIH Grants Policy Statement 16.8 Collaborative International Research Awards \(https://grants.nih.gov/grants/policy/nihgps/HTML5/section_16/16.8_collab_int_res_awards.htm\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/policy/nihgps/HTML5/section_16/16.8_collab_int_res_awards.htm).

Applications involving foreign subawards/subcontracts submitted in response to this NOFO will be deemed noncompliant and will not be considered for funding. This policy applies to all monetary international collaborations resulting in foreign subawards/subcontracts, however, it does not preclude unfunded international collaborations or [foreign components \(https://grants.nih.gov/grants/policy/nihgps/HTML5/section_1/1.2_definition_of_terms.htm\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/policy/nihgps/HTML5/section_1/1.2_definition_of_terms.htm), funding for foreign consultants, or procurement of unique equipment or supplies from foreign vendors.

Required Registrations

Applicant Organizations

Applicant organizations must complete and maintain the following registrations as described in the How to Apply- Application Guide to be eligible to apply for or receive an award. All registrations must be completed prior to the application being submitted. Registration can take 6 weeks or more, so applicants should begin the registration process as soon as possible. Failure to complete registrations in advance of a due date is not a valid reason for a late submission, please reference the [NIH Grants Policy Statement Section 2.3.9.2 Electronically Submitted Applications \(http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=82423\)](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=82423) for additional information.

- [System for Award Management \(SAM\) \(https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=82390\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=82390) – Applicants must complete and maintain an active registration, **which requires renewal at least annually**. The renewal process may require as much time as the initial registration. SAM registration includes the assignment of a Commercial and Government Entity (CAGE) Code for domestic organizations which have not already been assigned a CAGE Code. Foreign organizations must obtain a [NATO Commercial and Government Entity \(NCAGE\) Code \(http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11176\)](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11176) (in lieu of a CAGE code) in order to register in SAM.
 - Unique Entity Identifier (UEI)- A UEI is issued as part of the SAM.gov registration process. The same UEI must be used for all registrations, as well as on the grant application.
- [eRA Commons \(https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11123\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11123) - Once the unique organization identifier is established, organizations can register with eRA Commons in tandem with completing their Grants.gov registrations; all registrations must be in place by time of submission. eRA Commons requires organizations to identify at least one Signing Official (SO) and at least one Program Director/Principal Investigator (PD/PI) account in order to submit an application.
- [Grants.gov \(http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=82300\)](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=82300) – Applicants must have an active SAM registration in order to complete the Grants.gov registration.

Program Directors/Principal Investigators (PD(s)/PI(s))

All PD(s)/PI(s) must have an eRA Commons account. PD(s)/PI(s) should work with their organizational officials to either create a new account or to affiliate their existing account with the applicant organization in eRA Commons. Obtaining an eRA Commons account can take up to 2 weeks.

All PD(s)/PI(s) must be registered with [ORCID \(https://orcid.org/\)](https://orcid.org/). The personal profile associated with the PD(s)/PI(s) eRA Commons account must be linked to a valid ORCID ID. For more information on linking an ORCID ID to an eRA Commons personal profile see the [ORCID topic in our eRA Commons online help \(https://era.nih.gov/erahelp/Commons/default.htm#orcid.htm%3FTocPath%3D29\)](https://era.nih.gov/erahelp/Commons/default.htm#orcid.htm%3FTocPath%3D29).

Eligible Individuals (Program Director/Principal Investigator)

Any individual(s) with the skills, knowledge, and resources necessary to carry out the proposed research as the Program Director(s)/Principal Investigator(s) (PD(s)/PI(s)) is invited to work with their organization to develop an application for support.

For institutions/organizations proposing multiple PDs/PIs, visit the Multiple Program Director/Principal Investigator Policy and submission details in the Senior/Key Person Profile (Expanded) Component of the How to Apply-Application Guide.

2. Cost Sharing

This NOFO does not require cost sharing as defined in the [NIH Grants Policy Statement Section 1.2 Definition of Terms \(http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11126\)](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11126).

3. Additional Information on Eligibility

Number of Applications

Applicant organizations may submit more than one application, provided that each application is scientifically distinct.

The NIH will not accept duplicate or highly overlapping applications under review at the same time, per [NIH Grants Policy Statement Section 2.3.7.4 Submission of Resubmission Application \(http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/uri_redirect.htm?id=82415\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/uri_redirect.htm?id=82415). This means that the NIH will not accept:

- A new (A0) application that is submitted before issuance of the summary statement from the review of an overlapping new (A0) or resubmission (A1) application.
- A resubmission (A1) application that is submitted before issuance of the summary statement from the review of the previous new (A0) application.
- An application that has substantial overlap with another application pending appeal of initial peer review (see [NIH Grants Policy Statement 2.3.9.4 Similar, Essentially Identical, or Identical Applications \(http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/uri_redirect.htm?id=82423\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/uri_redirect.htm?id=82423)).

Section IV. Application and Submission Information

1. Requesting an Application Package

The application forms package specific to this opportunity must be accessed through ASSIST, Grants.gov Workspace or an institutional system-to-system solution. Links to apply using ASSIST or Grants.gov Workspace are available in Part 1 of this NOFO. See your administrative office for instructions if you plan to use an institutional system-to-system solution.

2. Content and Form of Application Submission

It is critical that applicants follow the instructions in the Research (R) Instructions in the [How to Apply - Application Guide \(https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/uri_redirect.htm?id=82400\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/uri_redirect.htm?id=82400) except where instructed in this notice of funding opportunity to do otherwise (in this NOFO, in a [policy notice \(https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/uri_redirect.php?id=11163\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/uri_redirect.php?id=11163), or other notice from [NIH Guide for Grants and Contracts \(https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/uri_redirect.php?id=11164\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/uri_redirect.php?id=11164)). Conformance to the requirements in the Application Guide is required and strictly enforced. Applications that are out of compliance with these instructions may be delayed or not accepted for review.

Page Limitations

All page limitations described in the [How to Apply- Application Guide \(https://grants.nih.gov/grants/how-to-apply-application-guide.html\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/how-to-apply-application-guide.html) and the [Table of Page Limits \(https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/uri_redirect.htm?id=61134\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/uri_redirect.htm?id=61134) must be followed.

Instructions for Application Submission

The following section supplements the instructions found in the [How to Apply- Application Guide \(https://grants.nih.gov/grants/how-to-apply-application-guide.html\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/how-to-apply-application-guide.html) and should be used for preparing an application to this NOFO.

SF424(R&R) Cover

All instructions in the [How to Apply - Application Guide \(https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/uri_redirect.htm?id=82400\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/uri_redirect.htm?id=82400) must be followed.

SF424(R&R) Project/Performance Site Locations

All instructions in the [How to Apply- Application Guide \(https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/uri_redirect.htm?id=82400\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/uri_redirect.htm?id=82400) must be followed.

SF424(R&R) Other Project Information

All instructions in the [How to Apply- Application Guide \(https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/uri_redirect.htm?id=82400\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/uri_redirect.htm?id=82400) must be followed.

SF424(R&R) Senior/Key Person Profile

All instructions in the [How to Apply- Application Guide \(https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/uri_redirect.htm?id=82400\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/uri_redirect.htm?id=82400) must be followed.

R&R or Modular Budget

All instructions in the [How to Apply- Application Guide \(https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/uri_redirect.htm?id=82400\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/uri_redirect.htm?id=82400) must be followed.

R&R Subaward Budget

All instructions in the [How to Apply - Application Guide \(https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/uri_redirect.htm?id=82400\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/uri_redirect.htm?id=82400) must be followed.

PHS 398 Cover Page Supplement

All instructions in the [How to Apply - Application Guide \(https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/uri_redirect.htm?id=82400\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/uri_redirect.htm?id=82400) must be followed.

PHS 398 Research Plan

All instructions in the [How to Apply - Application Guide \(https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/uri_redirect.htm?id=82400\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/uri_redirect.htm?id=82400) must be followed, with the following additional instructions:

Research Strategy: The Research Strategy should consist of the following subsections described below.

Sub-section A. Overview. In this sub-section, address the following aspects:

- The overall strategy of the proposed Monographs Program to provide scientific and administrative leadership with emphasis on the selection and evaluation of agents and recruitment of appropriate experts with broad geographical representation;
- The proven capacity to conduct systematic review of scientific studies related to carcinogenic agents;
- The ability to synthesize the science regarding an agent or types of agents and determine its carcinogenicity based on the weight of the evidence;
- The capability for maximizing the global impact of the *Monograph* series; and
- The ability to self-evaluate and implement improvements for the Monograph Program.

Sub-section B. Progress Report. If applicable, in this sub-section, summarize Progress-to-Date and milestones achieved during the current funding period. List the main accomplishments in the following areas:

- Identification, prioritization, and evaluation of carcinogenic agents;
- Significant findings from the convened Advisory and Working Groups;
- Accessibility of the *Monographs* data and global participation in the Monographs process; and
- Relevant global communications and outreach to health agencies, scientific community, and the general public.

Sub-section C. Proposed Monograph Program. Address the requirements defined in Section I of this NOFO and describe the aspects identified below.

- Improvements for timely selection of candidate carcinogenic agents that are of high relevance and concern to the global cancer community (including low- and middle-income countries or communities).
- Steps to improve the search (highlight any novel technologies or approaches to improve efficiency) and evaluation of scientific publications and other data sources (including high-content and high-throughput data).
- Plans for organizing and convening Advisory and Working Groups and approaches to ensure that these panels are scientifically rigorous.
- Any relevant ongoing or planned collaborations to improve the quality, transparency and efficiency of review of selected agents.

- Plans for increasing accessibility of the program's findings and data, including digitization of the *Monographs* into a database format and dissemination of results globally to health agencies, the scientific community, and the general public.
- Plans to promote effective self-correcting/self-improving actions.

Resource Sharing Plan: Individuals are required to comply with the instructions for the Resource Sharing Plans as provided in the [How to Apply - Application Guide \(https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=82400\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=82400).

Other Plan(s):

All instructions in the [How to Apply - Application Guide \(https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=82400\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=82400) must be followed, with the following additional instructions:

- A Data Management and Sharing Plan (DMS Plan) is required for any NIH-funded or conducted research that will generate scientific data. Applicants must submit the DMS Plan at the time of application using the [NIH DMS Plan Format Page \(https://grants.nih.gov/grants-process/write-application/forms-directory/data-management-and-sharing-plan-format-page\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants-process/write-application/forms-directory/data-management-and-sharing-plan-format-page). The DMS Plan must address the elements in the structured format. Where the DMS Plan Format Page requires a "Yes or No" response, no additional narrative is allowed.

Appendix: Only limited Appendix materials are allowed. Follow all instructions for the Appendix as described in the [How to Apply - Application Guide \(https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=82400\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=82400).

- No publications or other material, with the exception of blank questionnaires or blank surveys, may be included in the Appendix.

PHS Human Subjects and Clinical Trials Information

When involving human subjects research, clinical research, and/or NIH-defined clinical trials (and when applicable, clinical trials research experience) follow all instructions for the PHS Human Subjects and Clinical Trials Information form in the [How to Apply- Application Guide \(https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=82400\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=82400), with the following additional instructions:

If you answered "Yes" to the question "Are Human Subjects Involved?" on the R&R Other Project Information form, you must include at least one human subjects study record using the **Study Record: PHS Human Subjects and Clinical Trials Information** form or **Delayed Onset Study** record.

Study Record: PHS Human Subjects and Clinical Trials Information

All instructions in the [How to Apply - Application Guide \(https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=82400\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=82400) must be followed.

Delayed Onset Study

Note: [Delayed onset \(https://grants.nih.gov/grants/glossary.htm#DelayedOnsetStudy\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/glossary.htm#DelayedOnsetStudy) does NOT apply to a study that can be described but will not start immediately (i.e., delayed start). All instructions in the [How to Apply- Application Guide \(https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=82400\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=82400) must be followed.

PHS Assignment Request Form

All instructions in the [How to Apply- Application Guide \(https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=82400\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=82400) must be followed.

Foreign Organizations

Foreign (non-U.S.) organizations must follow policies described in the [NIH Grants Policy Statement \(http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11137\)](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11137), and procedures for foreign organizations described throughout the How to Apply- Application Guide.

3. Unique Entity Identifier and System for Award Management (SAM)

See Part 2. Section III.1 for information regarding the requirement for obtaining a unique entity identifier and for completing and maintaining active registrations in System for Award Management (SAM), NATO Commercial and Government Entity (NCAGE) Code (if applicable), eRA Commons, and Grants.gov

4. Submission Dates and Times

Part I. contains information about Key Dates and times. Applicants are encouraged to submit applications before the due date to ensure they have time to make any application corrections that might be necessary for successful submission. When a submission date falls on a weekend or [Federal holiday \(https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.html?id=82380\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.html?id=82380), the application deadline is automatically extended to the next business day.

Organizations must submit applications to [Grants.gov \(http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11128\)](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11128) (the online portal to find and apply for grants across all Federal agencies). Applicants must then complete the submission process by tracking the status of the application in the [eRA Commons \(http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11123\)](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11123), NIH's electronic system for grants administration. NIH and Grants.gov systems check the application against many of the application instructions upon submission. Errors must be corrected and a changed/corrected application must be submitted to Grants.gov on or before the application due date and time. If a Changed/Corrected application is submitted after the deadline, the application will be considered late. Applications that miss the due date and time are subjected to the [NIH Grants Policy Statement Section 2.3.9.2 Electronically Submitted Applications \(http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=82423\)](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=82423).

Applicants are responsible for viewing their application before the due date in the eRA Commons to ensure accurate and successful submission.

Information on the submission process and a definition of on-time submission are provided in the [How to Apply-Application Guide \(https://grants.nih.gov/grants-process/write-application/how-to-apply-application-guide\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants-process/write-application/how-to-apply-application-guide).

5. Intergovernmental Review (E.O. 12372)

This initiative is not subject to [intergovernmental review. \(https://grants.nih.gov/grants/policy/nihgps/html5/section_10/10.10.1_executive_orders.htm\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/policy/nihgps/html5/section_10/10.10.1_executive_orders.htm)

6. Funding Restrictions

All NIH awards are subject to the terms and conditions, cost principles, and other considerations described in the [NIH Grants Policy Statement \(http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11120\)](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11120).

Pre-award costs are allowable only as described in the [NIH Grants Policy Statement Section 7.9.1 Selected Items of Cost. \(http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11143\)](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11143)

7. Other Submission Requirements and Information

Applications must be submitted electronically following the instructions described in the [How to Apply - Application Guide \(https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=82400\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=82400). Paper applications will not be accepted.

Applicants must complete all required registrations before the application due date. Section III. Eligibility Information contains information about registration.

For assistance with your electronic application or for more information on the electronic submission process, visit [How to Apply - Application Guide \(https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=82400\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=82400). If you encounter a system issue beyond your control that threatens your ability to complete the submission process on-

time, you must follow the [Dealing with System Issues \(https://grants.nih.gov/grants/how-to-apply-application-guide/due-dates-and-submission-policies/dealing-with-system-issues.htm\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/how-to-apply-application-guide/due-dates-and-submission-policies/dealing-with-system-issues.htm) guidance. For assistance with application submission, contact the Application Submission Contacts in Section VII.

Important reminders:

All PD(s)/PI(s) must include their eRA Commons ID in the Credential field of the Senior/Key Person Profile form. Failure to register in the Commons and to include a valid PD/PI Commons ID in the credential field will prevent the successful submission of an electronic application to NIH. See Section III of this NOFO for information on registration requirements.

The applicant organization must ensure that the unique entity identifier provided on the application is the same identifier used in the organization's profile in the eRA Commons and for the System for Award Management. Additional information may be found in the [How to Apply – Application Guide \(https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=82400\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=82400).

See [more tips \(http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11146\)](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11146) for avoiding common errors.

Upon receipt, applications will be evaluated for completeness and compliance with application instructions by the Center for Scientific Review and responsiveness by components of participating organizations, NIH. Applications that are incomplete, non-compliant and/or nonresponsive will not be reviewed.

Mandatory Disclosure

Recipients or subrecipients must submit any information related to violations of federal criminal law involving fraud, bribery, or gratuity violations potentially affecting the federal award. See Mandatory Disclosures, [2 CFR 200.113 \(https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-2/subtitle-A/chapter-II/part-200/subpart-B/section-200.113\)](https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-2/subtitle-A/chapter-II/part-200/subpart-B/section-200.113) and [NIH Grants Policy Statement Section 4.1.35 \(https://grants.nih.gov/grants/policy/nihgps/html5/section_4/4.1.35_mandatory_disclosures.htm\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/policy/nihgps/html5/section_4/4.1.35_mandatory_disclosures.htm).

Send written disclosures to the NIH Chief Grants Management Officer listed on the Notice of Award for the IC that funded the award and to the [HHS Office of Inspector Grant Self Disclosure Program \(https://oig.hhs.gov/compliance/self-disclosure-info/hhs-oig-grant-self-disclosure-program/\)](https://oig.hhs.gov/compliance/self-disclosure-info/hhs-oig-grant-self-disclosure-program/) at [grantdisclosures@oig.hhs.gov \(mailto:grantdisclosures@oig.hhs.gov\)](mailto:grantdisclosures@oig.hhs.gov).

Post Submission Materials

Applicants are required to follow the instructions for post-submission materials, as described in [the policy \(http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=82299\)](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=82299).

Any instructions provided here are in addition to the instructions in the policy.

Section V. Application Review Information

1. Criteria

Only the review criteria described below will be considered in the review process. Applications submitted to the NIH in support of the [NIH mission \(http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11149\)](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11149) are evaluated for scientific and technical merit through the NIH peer review system.

For this particular announcement, note the following:

Overall Impact

Reviewers will provide an overall impact score to reflect their assessment of the likelihood for the project to exert a sustained, powerful influence on the research field(s) involved, in consideration of the following scored review criteria and additional review criteria (as applicable for the project proposed). An application does not need to be strong in all categories to be judged likely to have a major scientific impact.

Scored Review Criteria

Reviewers will consider Factors 1, 2 and 3 in the determination of scientific merit, and in providing an overall impact score. In addition, Factors 1 and 2 will each receive a separate factor score.

Factor 1. Importance of the Research (Significance and Innovation)

Significance

- Evaluate the importance of the proposed research in the context of current scientific challenges and opportunities, either for advancing knowledge within the field, or more broadly. Assess whether the application addresses an important gap in knowledge in the field, would solve a critical problem, or create a valuable conceptual or technical advance.
- Evaluate the rationale for undertaking the study, the rigor of the scientific background for the work (e.g., prior literature and/or preliminary data) and whether the scientific background justifies the proposed study.

Innovation

- Evaluate the extent to which innovation influences the importance of undertaking the proposed research. Note that while technical or conceptual innovation can influence the importance of the proposed research, a project that is not applying novel concepts or approaches may be of critical importance for the field.
- Evaluate whether the proposed work applies novel concepts, methods or technologies or uses existing concepts, methods, technologies in novel ways, to enhance the overall impact of the project.

Specific for this NOFO:

- Assess how well the proposed Monographs program will serve its strategic goals of providing timely and authoritative scientific evaluations of carcinogenic hazards to humans that are relevant to the global scientific and health communities.
- Evaluate the effectiveness of the Monographs program's global influence in informing the scientific community, health agencies, and the public about carcinogenic risks, particularly for low- and middle-income communities.
- Evaluate the effectiveness and efficiency of the proposed approaches in identifying, prioritizing, and comprehensively evaluating human cancer hazards.
- Assess how well the proposed approaches would communicate and disseminate information to relevant audiences globally.

Factor 2. Rigor and Feasibility (Approach)

Approach

- Evaluate the scientific quality of the proposed work. Evaluate the likelihood that compelling, reproducible findings will result (rigor) and assess whether the proposed studies can be done well and within the timeframes proposed (feasibility).

Rigor:

- Evaluate the potential to produce unbiased, reproducible, robust data.
- Evaluate the rigor of experimental design and whether appropriate controls are in place.
- Evaluate whether the sample size is sufficient and well-justified.
- Assess the quality of the plans for analysis, interpretation, and reporting of results.

- Evaluate whether the investigators presented adequate plans to address relevant biological variables, such as sex or age, in the design, analysis, and reporting.
- For applications involving human subjects or vertebrate animals, also evaluate:
 - the rigor of the intervention or study manipulation (if applicable to the study design).
 - whether outcome variables are justified.
 - whether the results will be generalizable or, in the case of a rare disease/special group, relevant to the particular subgroup.
 - whether the study population appropriately models the target population.
- For applications involving human subjects, including clinical trials, assess the adequacy of inclusion plans as appropriate for the scientific goals of the research. Considerations of appropriateness may include disease/condition/behavior incidence, prevalence, or population burden, population representation, and/or current state of the science.

Feasibility:

- Evaluate whether the proposed approach is sound and achievable, including plans to address problems or new challenges that emerge in the work. For proposed studies in which feasibility may be less certain, evaluate whether the uncertainty is balanced by the potential for major advances.
- For applications involving human subjects, including clinical trials, evaluate the adequacy and feasibility of the plan to recruit and retain a study population that appropriately models the target population. Additionally, evaluate the likelihood of successfully achieving the proposed enrollment based on age, race, ethnicity, and sex.
- For clinical trial applications, evaluate whether the study timeline and milestones are feasible.

Specific for this NOFO:

- Evaluate the Monographs program's approach to providing timely and authoritative scientific evaluations of carcinogenic hazards to humans that are relevant to the global scientific and health communities.
- Assess how well the global impact of the proposed Monographs program is described with respect to informing the scientific community, health agencies, and the public about carcinogenic hazards, particularly for low- and middle-income communities.

Factor 3. Expertise and Resources (Investigator(s) and Environment)**Investigator(s)**

Evaluate whether the investigator(s) have demonstrated background, training, and expertise, as appropriate for their career stage, to conduct the proposed work. For Multiple Principal Investigator (MPI) applications, assess the quality of the leadership plan to facilitate coordination and collaboration.

Environment

Evaluate whether the institutional resources are appropriate to ensure the successful execution of the proposed work.

Specific for this NOFO:

- Assess whether the expertise of the PD/PI and other key personnel in appropriate scientific areas is adequate and sufficient to manage the overarching objective of the Monographs program to rigorously evaluate carcinogenic risks to humans.
- Evaluate whether the PD/PI demonstrates sufficient leadership in recruiting appropriate experts and convening effective Advisory and Working Groups to produce scientifically rigorous, relevant, timely, and significant evaluations.
- Assess whether the applicant institution's environment, which includes interactions, collaborations, and outreach, is adequate to support the Monographs program in accomplishing its goal of producing rigorous evaluations of carcinogenic hazards of significance and concern to global health agencies and communities.

Additional Review Criteria

As applicable for the project proposed, reviewers will consider the following additional items while determining scientific and technical merit, but will not give criterion scores for these items, and should consider them in providing an overall impact score.

Protections for Human Subjects

For research that involves human subjects but does not involve one of the categories of research that are exempt under 45 CFR Part 46, evaluate the justification for involvement of human subjects and the proposed protections from research risk relating to their participation according to the following five review criteria: 1) risk to subjects; 2) adequacy of protection against risks; 3) potential benefits to the subjects and others; 4) importance of the knowledge to be gained; and 5) data and safety monitoring for clinical trials.

For research that involves human subjects and meets the criteria for one or more of the categories of research that are exempt under 45 CFR Part 46, evaluate: 1) the justification for the exemption; 2) human subjects involvement and characteristics; and 3) sources of materials. For additional information on review of the Human Subjects section, please refer to the [Guidelines for the Review of Human Subjects \(https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/uri_redirect.htm?id=11175\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/uri_redirect.htm?id=11175).

This NOFO only accepts applications that do not propose clinical trials. Note: Applications may propose activities involving human subjects that are not deemed clinical trials.

Vertebrate Animals

When the proposed research includes Vertebrate Animals, evaluate the involvement of live vertebrate animals according to the following criteria: (1) description of proposed procedures involving animals, including species, strains, ages, sex, and total number to be used; (2) justifications for the use of animals versus alternative models and for the appropriateness of the species proposed; (3) interventions to minimize discomfort, distress, pain and injury; and (4) justification for euthanasia method if NOT consistent with the AVMA Guidelines for the Euthanasia of Animals. For additional information on review of the Vertebrate Animals section, please refer to the [Worksheet for Review of the Vertebrate Animals Section \(http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/uri_redirect.htm?id=11150\)](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/uri_redirect.htm?id=11150).

Biohazards

When the proposed research includes Biohazards, evaluate whether specific materials or procedures that will be used are significantly hazardous to research personnel and/or the environment, and whether adequate protection is proposed.

Resubmissions

As applicable, evaluate the full application as now presented.

Renewals

As applicable, evaluate the progress made in the last funding period.

Revisions

As applicable, evaluate the appropriateness of the proposed expansion of the scope of the project.

Additional Review Considerations

As applicable for the project proposed, reviewers will consider each of the following items, but will not give scores for these items, and should not consider them in providing an overall impact score.

Authentication of Key Biological and/or Chemical Resources

For projects involving key biological and/or chemical resources, evaluate the brief plans proposed for identifying and ensuring the validity of those resources.

Budget and Period of Support

Evaluate whether the budget and the requested period of support are fully justified and reasonable in relation to the proposed research.

2. Review and Selection Process

Applications will be evaluated for scientific and technical merit by (an) appropriate Scientific Review Group(s), convened by CSR, in accordance with [NIH peer review policies and practices \(https://grants.nih.gov/policy-and-compliance/policy-topics/peer-review\)](https://grants.nih.gov/policy-and-compliance/policy-topics/peer-review), using the stated review criteria. Assignment to a Scientific Review Group will be shown in the eRA Commons.

As part of the scientific peer review, all applications will receive a written critique.

Applications may undergo a selection process in which only those applications deemed to have the highest scientific and technical merit (generally the top half of applications under review) will be discussed and assigned an overall impact score.

[Requests for reconsideration of initial peer review \(https://grants.nih.gov/grants/policy/nihgps/html5/section_2/2.4.2_appeals_of_initial_scientific_review.htm\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/policy/nihgps/html5/section_2/2.4.2_appeals_of_initial_scientific_review.htm) will not be accepted for applications submitted in response to this NOFO.

Applications will be assigned on the basis of established PHS referral guidelines to the appropriate NIH Institute or Center. Applications will compete for available funds with all other recommended applications submitted in response to this NOFO. Following initial peer review, recommended applications will receive a second level of review by the National Cancer Advisory Board. The following will be considered in making funding decisions:

- Scientific and technical merit of the proposed project as determined by scientific peer review.
- Availability of funds.
- Relevance of the proposed project to program priorities.

If the application is under consideration for funding, NIH will request "just-in-time" information from the applicant as described in the [NIH Grants Policy Statement Section 2.5.1. Just-in-Time Procedures \(http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/uri_redirect.htm?id=82418\)](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/uri_redirect.htm?id=82418). This request is not a Notice of Award nor should it be construed to be an indicator of possible funding.

Prior to making an award, NIH reviews an applicant's federal award history in SAM.gov to ensure sound business practices. An applicant can review and comment on any information in the Responsibility/Qualification records available in SAM.gov. NIH will consider any comments by the applicant in the Responsibility/Qualification records in SAM.gov to ascertain the applicant's integrity, business ethics, and performance record of managing Federal awards per 2 CFR Part 200.206 "Federal awarding agency review of risk posed by applicants." This provision will apply to all NIH grants and cooperative agreements except fellowships.

3. Anticipated Announcement and Award Dates

After the peer review of the application is completed, the PD/PI will be able to access his or her Summary Statement (written critique) via the [eRA Commons \(http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/uri_redirect.htm?id=11123\)](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/uri_redirect.htm?id=11123). Refer to Part 1 for dates for peer review, advisory council review, and earliest start date.

Information regarding the disposition of applications is available in the [NIH Grants Policy Statement Section 2.4.4 Disposition of Applications \(http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/uri_redirect.htm?id=82416\)](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/uri_redirect.htm?id=82416).

Section VI. Award Administration Information

1. Award Notices

A Notice of Award (NoA) is the official authorizing document notifying the applicant that an award has been made and that funds may be requested from the designated HHS payment system or office. The NoA is signed by the Grants Management Officer and emailed to the recipient's business official.

In accepting the award, the recipient agrees that any activities under the award are subject to all provisions currently in effect or implemented during the period of the award, other Department regulations and policies in effect at the time of the award, and applicable statutory provisions.

Recipients must comply with any funding restrictions described in Section IV.6. Funding Restrictions. Any pre-award costs incurred before receipt of the NoA are at the applicant's own risk. For more information on the Notice of Award, please refer to the [NIH Grants Policy Statement Section 5. The Notice of Award \(https://grants.nih.gov/grants/policy/nihgps/HTML5/section_5/5_the_notice_of_award.htm\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/policy/nihgps/HTML5/section_5/5_the_notice_of_award.htm) and NIH Grants & Funding website, see [Award Process. \(https://grants.nih.gov/grants/pre-award-process.htm#award\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/pre-award-process.htm#award)

Institutional Review Board or Independent Ethics Committee Approval: Recipient institutions must ensure that protocols are reviewed by their IRB or IEC. To help ensure the safety of participants enrolled in NIH-funded studies, the recipient must provide NIH copies of documents related to all major changes in the status of ongoing protocols.

2. Administrative and National Policy Requirements

The following Federal wide and HHS-specific policy requirements apply to awards funded through NIH:

- The rules listed at [2 CFR Part 200 \(https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-2/subtitle-A/chapter-II/part-200\)](https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-2/subtitle-A/chapter-II/part-200), Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards.
- All NIH grant and cooperative agreement awards include the [NIH Grants Policy Statement \(http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/uri_redirect.htm?id=11120\)](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/uri_redirect.htm?id=11120) as part of the terms and conditions in the Notice of Award (NoA). The NoA includes the requirements of this NOFO. For these terms of award, see the [NIH Grants Policy Statement Part II: Terms and Conditions of NIH Grant Awards, Subpart A: General \(http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/uri_redirect.htm?id=11120\)](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/uri_redirect.htm?id=11120) and [Part II: Terms and Conditions of NIH Grant Awards, Subpart B: Terms and Conditions for Specific Types of Grants, Recipients, and Activities \(http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/uri_redirect.htm?id=11159\)](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/uri_redirect.htm?id=11159).

Cooperative Agreement Terms and Conditions of Award

Not Applicable

3. Data Management and Sharing

A Data Management and Sharing Plan (DMS Plan) is required for any NIH-funded or conducted research that will generate scientific data. Applicants must submit the DMS Plan at the time of application using the [NIH DMS Plan Format Page \(https://grants.nih.gov/grants-process/write-application/forms-directory/data-management-and-sharing-plan-format-page\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants-process/write-application/forms-directory/data-management-and-sharing-plan-format-page). The DMS Plan must address the elements in the structured format. Where the DMS Plan Format Page requires a "Yes or No" response, no additional narrative is allowed.

4. Reporting

When multiple years are involved, recipients will be required to submit the [Research Performance Progress Report \(RPPR\) \(http://grants.nih.gov/grants/rppr/index.htm\)](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/rppr/index.htm) annually and financial statements as required in the [NIH Grants Policy Statement Section 8.4.1 Reporting \(https://grants.nih.gov/grants/policy/nihgps/HTML5/section_8/8.4.1_reporting.htm\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/policy/nihgps/HTML5/section_8/8.4.1_reporting.htm). To learn more about post-award monitoring and reporting, see the NIH Grants & Funding website, see [Post-Award Monitoring and Reporting \(https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.php?id=82428\)](https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.php?id=82428).

A final RPPR, invention statement, and the expenditure data portion of the Federal Financial Report are required for closeout of an award, as described in the [NIH Grants Policy Statement Section 8.6 Closeout \(http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=82420\)](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=82420). NIH NOFOs outline intended research goals and objectives. Post award, NIH will review and measure performance based on the details and outcomes that are shared within the RPPR, as described at 2 CFR Part 200.301.

Section VII. Agency Contacts

We encourage inquiries concerning this funding opportunity and welcome the opportunity to answer questions from potential applicants. When contacting the email addresses below please add the NOFO number to the subject line to assist routing.

Application Submission Contacts

[eRA Service Desk \(https://www.era.nih.gov/need-help\)](https://www.era.nih.gov/need-help) - Questions regarding ASSIST, eRA Commons, application errors and warnings, documenting system problems that threaten submission by the due date, and post-submission issues.

[Grants.gov Support Center \(https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fgrants.gov%2Fsupport&data=05%7C02%7Cantoine.caliman%40nih.gov%7Caf6dde64d644478d4e8b08dde6700581%7C14b77578977342d58507251ca2dc2b\)](https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fgrants.gov%2Fsupport&data=05%7C02%7Cantoine.caliman%40nih.gov%7Caf6dde64d644478d4e8b08dde6700581%7C14b77578977342d58507251ca2dc2b)

- Questions regarding Grants.gov registration and services (e.g., Workspace, subscriptions).

Scientific/Research Contact(s)

Carcinogen Hazard Assessment Monographs Program (CHAMP)

National Cancer Institute (NCI)

Email: ncidccpsegrpnofos@mail.nih.gov (<mailto:ncidccpsegrpnofos@mail.nih.gov>)

Peer Review Contact(s)

Center for Scientific Review (CSR)

Email: NOFORReviewContact@csr.nih.gov (<mailto:NOFORReviewContact@csr.nih.gov>)

Financial/Grants Management Contact(s)

Office of Grants Administration

National Cancer Institute (NCI)

Email: NCIFinancialContact@nih.gov (<mailto:NCIFinancialContact@nih.gov>)

Section VIII. Other Information

Recently issued trans-NIH [policy notices \(http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11163\)](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11163) may affect your application submission. A full list of policy notices published by NIH is provided in the [NIH Guide for Grants and Contracts \(http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11164\)](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11164). All awards are subject to the terms and conditions, cost principles, and other considerations described in the [NIH Grants Policy Statement \(http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11120\)](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/url_redirect.htm?id=11120).

Authority and Regulations

Awards are made under the authorization of Sections 301 and 405 of the Public Health Service Act as amended (42 USC 241 and 284) and under Federal Regulations 42 CFR Part 52 and 2 CFR Part 200.